# AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

# HB 163 2011 General Session

### Revisor's Statute

Sponsor: Representative Brad L. Dee Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Jenefer Youngfield Title: School Construction Specialist

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7669 Cell: (801) 513-6682

A. Short Form (For bills that have a If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.	state agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.  There is no fiscal impact on local governments.  There is no fiscal impact on businesses  There is no fiscal impact on individuals.  The bill will not affect revenues.				
If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.  Attachments welcome.	If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.  This bill modifies parts of the Utah Code to make technical corrections, which should help clarify, reduce confusion and portray more accurate intent of codes.				
B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?					
Cite specific sections or line numbers.	There does not appear to any fiscal impact related to this bill.				
C. Which program gets the appropriation?  Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.  For multiple appropriations  This is of					

## D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

This bill modifies parts of the Utah Code to make technical corrections, eliminates references to repealed provisions, makes minor wording changes, updates cross references, corrects numbering, and fixes errors that were created from the previous year's session.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Total	Current Budget Year FY 2011	Coming Budget Year FY 2012 0	Future Budget Year FY 2013
F. COSTS by FU	ND	Current Budget Year	Coming Budget Year	Future Budget Year
	Total	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013

### G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY. Future Budget Year Current Budget Year Coming Budget Year Expenses by Category FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 **Personal Services** Travel **Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay** Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru 0 0 **Total**

# H. Non-State Impacts Corrections to portions of the Utah Code will help local governments be able to use and apply the information contained in the codes more accurately. Businesses Corrections to portions of the Utah Code will help businesses be able to use and apply the information contained in the codes more accurately. Individuals Corrections to portions of the Utah Code will help individuals be able to use and apply the information contained in the codes more accurately. 2010 Version 11.09 This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. Attachments welcome.